

Summary of Results of TOYA-ATV Regulation Consultation Document

NOTES:

- 126 responses were received online
- Not all questions were answered on every survey
- The full responses can be viewed online at the TOYA website

Question #1 regarding the factors to be considered when creating regulations for management of ATV's in the Yukon (113 responses):

Support for the factors:	101	(89%)
Disagreement with the factors:	11	(10%)
Other:	1	(1%)

Question #2 Who should be developing the land use plan and proposing policy changes for management of ATV's in the Yukon Wilderness (116 responses):

Government:	49	(42%)
Third Party:	42	(36%)
Both Government and third Party:	19	(16%)
Other or Nothing:	6	(5%)

Question #3 What should be the scope and nature of a land use plan for management of ATV's in Yukon, a 2-part question (102 responses):

Complaints Driven	4	(4%)
Pro-Active	98	(96%)
Comprehensive (territory wide plan)	78	(96%)
Piecemeal (action taken only in damaged areas)	3	(4%)

Question #4 regarding enforcement and the suggestion that consideration be given to mandatory registration of ATV's in the Yukon (112 responses):

Supporting mandatory registration:	99	(88%)
Opposed to mandatory registration:	13	(12%)

1. **NOTE:** Full responses to the survey document can be viewed at the TOYA website.
2. **NOTE:** Explanation/descriptors for the four questions can be found below.

Question #1: What factors should be considered when developing an ATV (ORV) land use plan for the Yukon?

Yukon people should have an opportunity to participate in the development of a land use plan for ATV's that recognizes and respects the values of its citizens.

Some factors to consider:

- The various values that Yukon people place on the land
- Protecting the legacy of wild places for present and future generations
- Supporting the legitimate desires of those who use ATV's responsibly
- Supporting the legitimate desires of those who do not use ATV's
- Dealing with existing ATV damage in the Yukon wilderness
- Determining the difference between use and damage.
- Prevention of wildlife disturbance/harassment and habitat damage when managing ATV's

Question #2: Who should be making the proposal for an ATV land use plan for the Yukon?

In other jurisdictions, government has taken the lead role in any proposal to develop a land use plan for ATVs because:

- of the resources at its disposal
- its responsibilities for the common good

Third parties such as boards, committees, and individuals provide input to the proposed plan within the context of a full and open public consultation.

Who do you think is best placed to do a thorough job developing a land use plan for ATV use in the Yukon? Should this be done by government or a third party?

Question #3: What should be the scope and nature of an ATV land use plan for the Yukon?

The government has proposed that management of ATV's in the Yukon should be:

- a complaint-driven process whereby nothing is done unless someone complains.
- a piecemeal process whereby areas of damage should be protected with the unintended consequence being the movement of those using the damaged area to a new pristine area to repeat the process of damaging that area.

Many Yukon people think that a plan should be put in place:

- to protect the wilderness before anything is done about which to complain – a proactive approach.
- for the entire territory so that the problem does not get move from one location to another

Do you think that management of ATV's should be complaint-driven or proactive? Should it be a more comprehensive plan for the whole territory or piecemeal?

Question #4: How should an ATV land use plan be enforced?

- Yukon government can designate YTG officials such as Conservation Officers, Park Officers,

and Natural Resource Officers to enforce provisions of an ATV land use plan.

- The public can help by reporting offences as has worked with TIP, the Turn-In-Poachers initiative.
- Effective enforcement requires that offenders are identifiable. Registration of ATV's is essential for that purpose.
- British Columbia has recently enacted legislation and regulation that requires registration of all ATV's at a cost of \$48.00 for a period of ten years, with no requirement for insurance.

Do you support registration of ATV's for the purpose of identification and enforcement?